


Basel Committee on Banking Supervision



The internal audit function in banks

June 2012



BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS

This publication is available on the BIS website (www.bis.org).

© *Bank for International Settlements 2012. All rights reserved. Brief excerpts may be reproduced or translated provided the source is cited.*

ISBN 92-9131- 140-5 (print)

ISBN 92-9197- 140-5 (online)

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Overview of the principles.....	2
A. Supervisory expectations relevant to the internal audit function	3
1. The internal audit function.....	4
2. Key features of the internal audit function.....	4
3. The internal audit charter	7
4. Scope of activity	7
5. Corporate governance considerations	9
6. Internal audit within a group or holding company structure.....	13
7. Outsourcing of internal audit activities	14
B. The relationship of the supervisory authority with the internal audit function	14
1. Benefits of enhanced communication between the supervisory authority and the internal audit function	15
2. Potential topics for discussion between supervisors and internal audit	16
C. Supervisory assessment of the internal audit function	17
1. Assessment of the internal audit function	17
2. Actions to be undertaken by the supervisory authority	18
Annex 1: Internal audit function's communication channels	19
Annex 2: Responsibilities of a bank's audit committee	21

Members of the Accounting Task Force's Audit Subgroup of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

Chairman:
Mr Marc Pickeur
National Bank of Belgium

Representatives in *italics* provided drafting support

Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions, Canada	Ms Laural Ross <i>Ms Ruby Garg</i>
Bank of France	Ms Nathalie Boutin
Prudential Supervisory Authority, France	Ms Sylvie Marchal
Deutsche Bundesbank, Germany	Ms Dragomira Berberova
Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Germany	Ms Stefanie Jessen
Banca d'Italia, Italy	Ms Lidja Schiavo
Bank of Japan	Mr Hiroyuki Yoshida <i>Ms Keiko Sumida</i>
Financial Services Agency, Japan	Mr Tadashi Tsumori
Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier, Luxembourg	Ms Martine Wagner
De Nederlandsche Bank, The Netherlands	Mr Nic van der Ende
Banco de España, Spain	Ms Barbara Olivares
Financial Services Authority, United Kingdom	Ms Patricia Sucher <i>Mr Robert Konowalchuk</i> <i>Ms Veenu Mittal</i>
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, United States	Mr Terrill Garrison
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, United States	Mr Robert Riordan
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, United States	Mr Harrison Greene
Secretariat	
Secretariat of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision	Mr Xavier-Yves Zanota

Introduction

1. The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Committee) is issuing this revised supervisory guidance for assessing the effectiveness of the internal audit function in banks, which forms part of the Committee's ongoing efforts to address bank supervisory issues and enhance supervision through guidance that encourages sound practices within banks. The document replaces the 2001 document *Internal audit in banks and the supervisor's relationship with auditors*. It takes into account developments in supervisory practices and in banking organisations and incorporates lessons drawn from the recent financial crisis.

2. The Committee's *Principles for Enhancing Corporate Governance*¹ states that banks should have an internal audit function with sufficient authority, stature, independence, resources and access to the board of directors. Independent, competent and qualified internal auditors are vital to sound corporate governance.

3. A strong internal control system, including an independent and effective internal audit function, is part of sound corporate governance. Banking supervisors must be satisfied as to the effectiveness of a bank's internal audit function, that policies and practices are followed and that management takes appropriate and timely corrective action in response to internal control weaknesses identified by internal auditors. An internal audit function provides vital assurance to a bank's board of directors and senior management (and bank supervisors) as to the quality of the bank's internal control system. In doing so, the function helps reduce the risk of loss and reputational damage to the bank.

4. This document addresses supervisory expectations for the internal audit function in banking organisations, the relationship of the supervisory authority with the internal audit function and the supervisory assessment of that function. This document seeks to promote a strong internal audit function within banking organisations and to provide guidance for the supervisory assessment of this function.

5. This document also encourages bank internal auditors to comply with and to contribute to the development of national and international professional standards, such as those issued by The Institute of Internal Auditors, and it promotes due consideration of prudential issues in the development of internal audit standards and practices.

6. This document refers to a management structure comprised of a board of directors² and senior management. The Committee recognises that significant differences exist in legislative and regulatory frameworks between countries. These national frameworks shape the role and function of management and governance structures. In some countries the board of directors has the main, if not exclusive, function of overseeing the executive body, often referred to as senior management, and ensuring that it fulfils its responsibilities. For this reason it is sometimes known as a supervisory board that has no executive functions. In contrast, in other countries the board has a broader remit in that it lays down the general framework for the management of the bank. Owing to these differences, the concepts of the board of directors and senior management are used in this document not to identify legal constructs but rather to label two decision-making functions within a bank.

¹ BCBS website: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs176.pdf>

² In this document, the terms "board of directors" and "board" are both used and have the same meaning.

7. The principles set out in this document should be applied in accordance with the national legislation and corporate governance structures applicable in each country.

8. For large banks and internationally active banks, an audit committee (or its equivalent) is typically responsible for providing oversight of the bank's internal auditors. Such a committee is established within the board of directors. Annex 2 of this document provides more details about the responsibilities of audit committees. In this document, references to the board of directors presume appropriate involvement of its audit committee, when one exists. In line with the Committee's *Principles for Enhancing Corporate Governance*, paragraph 50, this document assumes that large and internationally active banks have an audit committee or its equivalent. Other banks are strongly encouraged to establish such a committee.

9. This guidance applies to all banks, including those within a banking group, and to holding companies whose subsidiaries are predominantly banks and to those holding companies subject to prudential supervision whose subsidiaries are predominantly banks. All of these structures are referred to as banks or banking organisations in this document. The extent of application of this guidance should be commensurate with the significance, complexity and international presence of the bank (principle of proportionality).

Overview of the principles

Principles relating to the supervisory expectations relevant to the internal audit function

Principle 1: An effective internal audit function provides independent assurance to the board of directors and senior management on the quality and effectiveness of a bank's internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes, thereby helping the board and senior management protect their organisation and its reputation.

Principle 2: The bank's internal audit function must be independent of the audited activities, which requires the internal audit function to have sufficient standing and authority within the bank, thereby enabling internal auditors to carry out their assignments with objectivity.

Principle 3: Professional competence, including the knowledge and experience of each internal auditor and of internal auditors collectively, is essential to the effectiveness of the bank's internal audit function.

Principle 4: Internal auditors must act with integrity.

Principle 5: Each bank should have an internal audit charter that articulates the purpose, standing and authority of the internal audit function within the bank in a manner that promotes an effective internal audit function as described in Principle 1.

Principle 6: Every activity (including outsourced activities) and every entity of the bank should fall within the overall scope of the internal audit function.

Principle 7: The scope of the internal audit function's activities should ensure adequate coverage of matters of regulatory interest within the audit plan.

Principle 8: Each bank should have a permanent internal audit function, which should be structured consistent with Principle 14 when the bank is within a banking group or holding company.

Principle 9: The bank's board of directors has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that senior management establishes and maintains an adequate, effective and efficient internal control system and, accordingly, the board should support the internal audit function in discharging its duties effectively.

Principle 10: The audit committee, or its equivalent, should oversee the bank's internal audit function.

Principle 11: The head of the internal audit department should be responsible for ensuring that the department complies with sound internal auditing standards and with a relevant code of ethics.

Principle 12: The internal audit function should be accountable to the board, or its audit committee, on all matters related to the performance of its mandate as described in the internal audit charter.

Principle 13: The internal audit function should independently assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes created by the business units and support functions and provide assurance on these systems and processes.

Principle 14: To facilitate a consistent approach to internal audit across all the banks within a banking organisation, the board of directors of each bank within a banking group or holding company structure should ensure that either:

- (i) the bank has its own internal audit function, which should be accountable to the bank's board and should report to the banking group or holding company's head of internal audit; or
- (ii) the banking group or holding company's internal audit function performs internal audit activities of sufficient scope at the bank to enable the board to satisfy its fiduciary and legal responsibilities.

Principle 15: Regardless of whether internal audit activities are outsourced, the board of directors remains ultimately responsible for the internal audit function.

Principle relating to the relationship of the supervisory authority with the internal audit function

Principle 16: Supervisors should have regular communication with the bank's internal auditors to (i) discuss the risk areas identified by both parties, (ii) understand the risk mitigation measures taken by the bank, and (iii) understand weaknesses identified and monitor the bank's responses to these weaknesses.

Principles relating to the supervisory assessment of the internal audit function

Principle 17: Bank supervisors should regularly assess whether the internal audit function has sufficient standing and authority within the bank and operates according to sound principles.

Principle 18: Supervisors should formally report all weaknesses they identify in the internal audit function to the board of directors and require timely remedial actions.

Principle 19: The supervisory authority should consider the impact of its assessment of the internal audit function on its evaluation of the bank's risk profile and on its own supervisory work.

Principle 20: The supervisory authority should be prepared to take informal or formal supervisory actions requiring the board and senior management to remedy any identified deficiencies related to the internal audit function within a specified timeframe and to provide the supervisor with periodic written progress reports.

A. Supervisory expectations relevant to the internal audit function

Principle 1: An effective internal audit function provides independent assurance to the board of directors and senior management on the quality and effectiveness of a bank's internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes, thereby helping the board and senior management protect their organisation and its reputation.

1. The internal audit function

10. The internal audit function plays a crucial role in the ongoing maintenance and assessment of a bank's internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes – areas in which supervisory authorities have a keen interest. Furthermore, both internal auditors and supervisors use risk based approaches to determine their respective work plans and actions. While internal auditors and supervisors each have a different mandate and are responsible for their own judgments and assessments, they may identify the same or similar/related risks.

11. The internal audit function should develop an independent and informed view of the risks faced by the bank based on their access to all bank records and data, their enquiries, and their professional competence. The internal audit function should be able to discuss their views, findings and conclusions directly with the audit committee and the board of directors, thereby helping the board to oversee senior management.

2. Key features of the internal audit function

12. The key features described below are essential for the effective operation of an internal audit function.

(a) *Independence and objectivity*³

Principle 2: The bank's internal audit function must be independent of the audited activities, which requires the internal audit function to have sufficient standing and

³ Both “independence” and “objectivity” have a specific meaning in an internal audit environment. The Glossary of The Institute of Internal Auditors refers to independence as the freedom from conditions that threaten the ability of the internal audit activity to carry out internal audit responsibilities in an unbiased manner. Objectivity is referred to in the Glossary as an unbiased mental attitude that allows internal auditors to perform engagements in such a manner that they believe in their work product and that no quality compromises are made. Objectivity requires that internal auditors do not subordinate their judgement on audit matters to others.

authority within the bank, thereby enabling internal auditors to carry out their assignments with objectivity.

13. On the basis of the audit plan established by the head of the internal audit function and approved by the board of directors, the internal audit function must be able to perform its assignments on its own initiative in all areas and functions of the bank. It must be free to report its findings and assessments internally through clear reporting lines. The head of internal audit should demonstrate appropriate leadership and have the necessary skills to fulfil his or her responsibility for maintaining the function's independence and objectivity.

14. The internal audit function should not be involved in designing, selecting, implementing or operating specific internal control measures. However, the independence of the internal audit function should not prevent senior management from requesting input from internal audit on matters related to risk and internal controls. Nevertheless, the development and implementation of internal controls should remain the responsibility of management.

15. Continuously performing similar tasks or routine jobs may negatively affect an individual internal auditor's capacity for critical judgement because of possible loss of objectivity. It is therefore a sound practice, whenever practicable and without jeopardising competence and expertise, to periodically rotate internal audit staff within the internal audit function. In addition, a bank may rotate staff from other functional areas of the bank to the internal audit function or from the internal audit function to other functional areas of the bank. Staff rotations within the internal audit function and staff rotations to and from the internal audit function should be governed by and conducted in accordance with a sound written policy. The policy should be designed to avoid conflicts of interest, including the observance of an appropriate "cooling-off" period following an individual's return to the internal audit staff before that individual audits activities in the functional area of the bank where his/her rotation had been served.

16. The independence and objectivity of the internal audit function may be undermined if the internal audit staff's remuneration is linked to the financial performance of the business lines for which they exercise internal audit responsibilities. The remuneration of the head of the internal audit function should be determined in accordance with the remuneration policies and practices of the bank. Remuneration to reward the performance of the head of internal audit or internal audit staff members should be structured to avoid creating conflicts of interest and compromising independence and objectivity.

(b) Professional competence and due professional care

Principle 3: Professional competence, including the knowledge and experience of each internal auditor and of internal auditors collectively, is essential to the effectiveness of the bank's internal audit function.

17. Professional competence depends on the auditor's capacity to collect and understand information, to examine and evaluate audit evidence and to communicate with the stakeholders of the internal audit function. This should be combined with suitable methodologies and tools and sufficient knowledge of auditing techniques.

18. The head of internal audit should be responsible for acquiring human resources with sufficient qualifications and skills to effectively deliver on the mandate for professional competence and to audit to the required level. He/she should continually assess and monitor the skills necessary to do so. The skills required for senior internal auditors should include the abilities to judge outcomes and make an impact at the highest level of the organisation.

19. The head of internal audit should ensure that the internal audit staff acquires appropriate ongoing training in order to meet the growing technical complexity of banks' activities and the increasing diversity of tasks that need to be undertaken as a result of the introduction of new products and processes within banks and other developments in the financial sector.

20. Internal auditors collectively should be competent to examine all areas in which the bank operates. Alternatively, when outsourcing⁴ arrangements are in place, it is the responsibility of the head of internal audit to maintain adequate oversight and to ensure adequate transfer of knowledge from external experts to the bank's internal audit staff. The head of internal audit should ensure that the use of those experts does not compromise the independence and objectivity of the internal audit function.⁵

21. Internal auditors must apply the care and skills expected of a reasonably prudent and competent professional. Due professional care does not imply infallibility; however, internal auditors having limited competence and experience in a particular area should be supervised by more experienced internal auditors.

(c) Professional ethics

Principle 4: Internal auditors must act with integrity.

22. Integrity establishes trust as it requires the internal auditor to be straightforward, honest and truthful. This provides the basis for reliance on the internal auditor's professional judgement.

23. Internal auditors should respect the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of their duties. They should not use that information for personal gain or malicious action and should be diligent in the protection of information acquired.

24. The head of the internal audit function and all internal auditors should avoid conflicts of interest. Internally recruited internal auditors should not engage in auditing activities for which they have had previous responsibility before a sufficiently long "cooling off" period has elapsed. Moreover, compensation arrangements should not provide incentives for internal auditors to act contrary to the attributes and objectives of the internal audit function.

25. Internal auditors should apply the bank's code of ethics (when there is one) or should adhere to an established international code of ethics for internal auditors, such as that of The Institute of Internal Auditors.⁶ A code of ethics should at a minimum address the principles of objectivity, competence, confidentiality and integrity.

⁴ Outsourcing is the engagement of experts from outside the banking organisation to perform internal audit activities to support the internal audit function.

⁵ If internal experts from within the bank (so-called guest auditors) are used in lieu of or in addition to external experts, the head of internal audit has the same responsibilities for oversight, knowledge transfer, independence and objectivity.

⁶ The Institute of Internal Auditors (The IIA) and the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) have each issued a code of ethics. Both codes emphasise the importance of the principle of integrity.

3. The internal audit charter

Principle 5: Each bank should have an internal audit charter that articulates the purpose, standing and authority of the internal audit function within the bank in a manner that promotes an effective internal audit function as described in Principle 1.

26. The charter should be drawn up and reviewed periodically by the head of internal audit and approved by the board of directors. It should be available to all internal stakeholders of the organisation and, in certain circumstances, such as listed entities, to external stakeholders.

27. At a minimum, an internal audit charter should establish:

- The internal audit function's standing within the bank, its authority, its responsibilities and its relations with other control functions in a manner that promotes the effectiveness of the function as described in Principle 1 of this guidance;
- The purpose and scope of the internal audit function;
- The key features of the internal audit function described under Section A.2 above;
- The obligation of the internal auditors to communicate the results of their engagements and a description of how and to whom this should be done (reporting line);
- The criteria for when and how the internal audit function may outsource some of its engagements to external experts;
- The terms and conditions according to which the internal audit function can be called upon to provide consulting or advisory services or to carry out other special tasks;
- The responsibility and accountability of the head of internal audit;
- A requirement to comply with sound internal auditing standards;
- Procedures for the coordination of the internal audit function with the statutory or external auditor.

28. The charter should empower the internal audit function, whenever relevant to the performance of its assignments, to initiate direct communication with any member of staff, to examine any activity or entity of the bank, and to have full and unconditional access to any records, files, data and physical properties of the bank. This includes access to management information systems and records and the minutes of all consultative and decision-making bodies.

4. Scope of activity

Principle 6: Every activity (including outsourced activities) and every entity of the bank should fall within the overall scope of the internal audit function.

29. The scope of internal audit activities should include the examination and evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes of the entire bank, including the organisation's outsourced activities and its subsidiaries and branches.

30. The internal audit function should independently evaluate the:
- Effectiveness and efficiency of internal control, risk management and governance systems in the context of both current and potential future risks;
 - Reliability, effectiveness and integrity of management information systems and processes (including relevance, accuracy, completeness, availability, confidentiality and comprehensiveness of data);
 - Monitoring of compliance with laws and regulations, including any requirements from supervisors (see the following sub-section for more details); and
 - Safeguarding of assets.

31. The head of internal audit is responsible for establishing an annual internal audit plan that can be part of a multi-year plan. The plan should be based on a robust risk assessment (including input from senior management and the board) and should be updated at least annually (or more frequently to enable an ongoing real-time assessment of where significant risks lie). The board's approval of the audit plan implies that an appropriate budget will be available to support the internal audit function's activities. The budget should be sufficiently flexible to adapt to variations in the internal audit plan in response to changes in the bank's risk profile.

Principle 7: The scope of the internal audit function's activities should ensure adequate coverage of matters of regulatory interest within the audit plan.

32. Internal audit should have the appropriate capability regarding matters of regulatory interest and undertake regular reviews of such areas based on the results of its robust risk assessment. These include policies, processes and governance measures established in response to various regulatory principles, rules and guidance established by the relevant authorities. In particular, the internal audit function of a bank should have the capacity to review key risk management functions, regulatory capital adequacy and liquidity control functions, regulatory and internal reporting functions, the regulatory compliance function and the finance function.

(a) Risk management

33. A bank's risk management processes support and reflect its adherence to regulatory provisions and safe and sound banking practices. Therefore, internal audit should include in its scope the following aspects of risk management:

- the organisation and mandates of the risk management function including market, credit, liquidity, interest rate, operational, and legal risks;
- evaluation of risk appetite, escalation and reporting of issues and decisions taken by the risk management function;
- the adequacy of risk management systems and processes for identifying, measuring, assessing, controlling, responding to, and reporting on all the risks resulting from the bank's activities;
- the integrity of the risk management information systems, including the accuracy, reliability and completeness of the data used; and
- the approval and maintenance of risk models including verification of the consistency, timeliness, independence and reliability of data sources used in such models.

When the risk management function has not informed the board of directors about the existence of a significant divergence of views between senior management and the risk management function regarding the level of risk faced by the bank, the head of internal audit should inform the board about this divergence.

(b) Capital adequacy and liquidity

34. Banks are subject to the global regulatory framework for capital and liquidity as approved by the Committee and implemented in national regulation. This framework contains measures to strengthen regulatory capital and global liquidity. The scope of internal audit should include all provisions of this regulatory framework and in particular the bank's system for identifying and measuring its regulatory capital and assessing the adequacy of its capital resources in relation to the bank's risk exposures and established minimum ratios.

35. Internal audit should review management's process for stress testing its capital levels, taking into account the frequency of such exercises, their purpose (e.g., internal monitoring vs. regulator imposed), the reasonableness of scenarios and the underlying assumptions employed, and the reliability of the processes used.

36. Additionally, the bank's systems and processes for measuring and monitoring its liquidity positions in relation to its risk profile, external environment, and minimum regulatory requirements, should fall within the audit universe.

(c) Regulatory and internal reporting

37. In addition to the matters identified above, internal auditors should regularly evaluate the effectiveness of the process by which the risk and reporting functions interact to produce timely, accurate, reliable and relevant reports for both internal management and the supervisor.

38. This includes standardised reports which record the bank's calculation of its capital resources, requirements and ratios. It may also include public disclosures intended to facilitate transparency and market discipline such as the Pillar 3 disclosures and the reporting of regulatory matters in the bank's public reports.

(d) Compliance⁷

39. The scope of the activities of the compliance function should be subject to periodic review by the internal audit function.

40. Compliance laws, rules and standards include primary legislation, rules and standards issued by legislators and supervisors, market conventions, codes of practice promoted by industry associations, and internal codes of conduct applicable to the staff members of the bank.

41. The audit of the compliance function should include an assessment of how effectively it fulfils its responsibilities.

⁷ To be read in conjunction with the Committee's *Compliance and the compliance function in banks*, April 2005.

(e) Finance

42. A bank's finance function⁸ is responsible for the integrity and accuracy of financial data and reporting. Key aspects of Finance's activities (e.g. calculations, profit and loss valuations and reserves) have an impact on the level of a bank's capital resources and therefore associated controls should be robust and consistently applied across similar risks and businesses. As such, it is important that these controls are subject to periodic internal audit review, using resources and expertise to provide an effective evaluation of bank practices.

43. Internal audit should devote sufficient resources to evaluate the valuation control environment, availability and reliability of information or evidence used in the valuation process and the reliability of estimated fair values. This is achieved through reviewing the independent price verification processes and testing valuations of significant transactions.

44. Internal audit should also include in its scope (the list is not intended to be exhaustive):

- The organisation and mandate of the finance function;
- The adequacy and integrity of underlying financial data and finance systems and processes for completely identifying, capturing, measuring and reporting key data such as profit or loss, valuations of financial instruments and impairment allowances;
- The approval and maintenance of pricing models including verification of the consistency, timeliness, independence and reliability of data sources used in such models;
- Controls in place to prevent and detect trading irregularities;
- Balance sheet controls including key reconciliations performed and actions taken (e.g. adjustments).

5. Corporate governance considerations

45. Annex 1 provides an illustrative overview of relevant principles and standards with respect to the internal audit function, corporate governance structure, and communication channels within a generic bank's governance model.

(a) Permanency of the internal audit function

Principle 8: Each bank should have a permanent internal audit function, which should be structured consistent with Principle 14 when the bank is within a banking group or holding company.

46. In fulfilling its duties and responsibilities, senior management and the board should take all the necessary measures to ensure that the bank has a permanent internal audit function commensurate with its size, the nature of its operations and the complexity of its organisation.

⁸ Finance includes valuation, modelling, product control and financial control.

47. Internal audit activities should normally be conducted by the bank's own internal audit staff. When internal audit activities are partially or fully outsourced, the board of directors remains ultimately responsible for these activities and for maintaining an internal audit function within the bank. Outsourcing of internal audit activities is further addressed in principle 15 and related paragraphs.

(b) Responsibilities of the board of directors and senior management

Principle 9: The bank's board of directors has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that senior management establishes and maintains an adequate, effective and efficient internal control system and, accordingly, the board should support the internal audit function in discharging its duties effectively.

48. At least once a year, the board of directors should review the effectiveness and efficiency of the internal control system based, in part, on information provided by the internal audit function. Moreover, as part of their oversight responsibilities, the board of directors should review the performance of the internal audit function. From time to time, the board of directors should consider commissioning an independent external quality assurance review of the internal audit function.

49. Senior management is responsible for developing an internal control framework that identifies, measures, monitors and controls all risks faced by the bank. It should maintain an organisational structure that clearly assigns responsibility, authority and reporting relationships and ensures that delegated responsibilities are effectively carried out. It is an established practice for senior management to report to the board of directors on the scope and performance of the internal control framework.

50. Senior management should inform the internal audit function of new developments, initiatives, projects, products and operational changes and ensure that all associated risks, known and anticipated, are identified and communicated at an early stage.

51. Senior management should be accountable for ensuring that timely and appropriate actions are taken on all internal audit findings and recommendations.

52. Senior management should ensure that the head of internal audit has the necessary resources, financial and otherwise, available to carry out his or her duties commensurate with the annual internal audit plan, scope and budget approved by the audit committee.

(c) Responsibilities of the audit committee in relation to the internal audit function

Principle 10: The audit committee, or its equivalent, should oversee the bank's internal audit function.

53. This principle applies when the board of directors has established an audit committee. In cases where no audit committee exists, the responsibilities described below should be assumed by the board itself. As explained in paragraph 50 of the Committee's *Principles for Enhancing Corporate Governance*, large banks and internationally active banks should have an audit committee or its equivalent. Other banks are encouraged to establish an audit committee.

54. The oversight function of the audit committee includes ensuring that the internal audit function is able to discharge its responsibilities in an independent manner, congruent with principle 2. It also includes reviewing and approving the audit plan, its scope, and the

budget of the internal audit function. It reviews key audit reports and ensures that senior management is taking necessary and timely corrective actions to address control weaknesses, compliance issues with policies, laws and regulations and other concerns identified and reported by the internal audit function.

55. Annex 2 of this document gives an overview of the responsibilities of an audit committee.

(d) Management of the internal audit department

Principle 11: The head of the internal audit department should be responsible for ensuring that the department complies with sound internal auditing standards and with a relevant code of ethics.

56. The head of the internal audit department should ensure compliance with sound internal auditing standards, such as The Institute of Internal Auditors' *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing*. In addition, auditors should adhere to a relevant code of ethics (see paragraph 25).

57. The audit committee should ensure that the head of the internal audit function is a person of integrity. This means that he or she will be able to perform his or her work with honesty, diligence and responsibility. It also implies that this person observes the law and has not been a party to any illegal activity. The head of internal audit should also ensure that the members of internal audit staff are persons of integrity.

(e) Reporting lines of the internal audit function

Principle 12: The internal audit function should be accountable to the board, or its audit committee, on all matters related to the performance of its mandate as described in the internal audit charter.

58. The Internal audit function should be accountable to the board of directors or its audit committee. It should also promptly inform senior management about its findings.

59. Senior management is responsible for implementing and maintaining an adequate and effective internal control system and processes. Therefore, the internal audit function should inform senior management of all significant findings so that timely corrective actions can be taken. Subsequently, the internal audit function should follow up with senior management on the outcome of these corrective measures. The head of the internal audit function should report to the board, or its audit committee, the status of findings that have not (yet) been rectified by senior management.

(f) The relationship between the internal audit, compliance and risk management functions

Principle 13: The internal audit function should independently assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes created by the business units and support functions and provide assurance on these systems and processes.

60. The relationship between a bank's business units, the support functions and the internal audit function can be explained using the *three lines of defence* model. The business units are the first line of defence. They undertake risks within assigned limits of risk exposure and are responsible and accountable for identifying, assessing and controlling the risks of their business. The second line of defence includes the support functions, such as risk

management, compliance, legal, human resources, finance, operations, and technology. Each of these functions, in close relationship with the business units, ensures that risks in the business units have been appropriately identified and managed. The business support functions work closely to help define strategy, implement bank policies and procedures, and collect information to create a bank-wide view of risks. The third line of defence is the internal audit function that independently assesses the effectiveness of the processes created in the first and second lines of defence and provides assurance on these processes.

Line of defence	Examples	Approach
First line	Front Office, any client-facing activity	Transaction-based, ongoing
Second line	Risk Management, Compliance, Legal, Human Resources, Finance, Operations, and Technology	Risk-based, ongoing or periodic
Third line	Internal Audit	Risk-based, periodic

61. The responsibility for internal control does not transfer from one line of defence to the next line.

6. Internal audit within a group or holding company structure

Principle 14: To facilitate a consistent approach to internal audit across all the banks within a banking organisation, the board of directors of each bank within a banking group or holding company structure should ensure that either:

- (i) the bank has its own internal audit function, which should be accountable to the bank's board and should report to the banking group or holding company's head of internal audit; or
- (ii) the banking group or holding company's internal audit function performs internal audit activities of sufficient scope at the bank to enable the board to satisfy its fiduciary and legal responsibilities.

62. The board of directors of each bank in a group or holding company structure remains responsible for ensuring that the bank's senior management establishes and maintains an adequate, effective and efficient internal control system and processes. The board also should ensure that internal audit activities are conducted effectively at the bank according to the principles of this document. The internal auditors who perform the internal audit work at the bank should report to the bank's audit committee, or its equivalent, and to the group or holding company's head of internal audit.

63. The board of directors and senior management of the parent company have the overall responsibility for ensuring that an adequate and effective internal audit function is established across the banking organisation and for ensuring that internal audit policies and mechanisms are appropriate to the structure, business activities and risks of all of the components of the group or holding company.

64. The head of internal audit at the level of the parent company should define the group or holding company's internal audit strategy, determine the organisation of the internal audit function both at the parent and subsidiary bank levels (in consultation with these entities' respective boards of directors and in accordance with local laws) and formulate the

internal audit principles, which include the audit methodology and quality assurance measures.

65. The group or holding company's internal audit function should determine the audit scope for the banking organisation. In doing so, it should comply with local legal and regulatory provisions and incorporate local knowledge and experience.

7. Outsourcing of internal audit activities

Principle 15: Regardless of whether internal audit activities are outsourced, the board of directors remains ultimately responsible for the internal audit function.

66. It is recommended that large banks and internationally active banks perform internal audit activities using their own staff. However, outsourcing of internal audit activities, but not the function, on a limited and targeted basis can bring benefits to banks such as access to specialised expertise and knowledge for an internal audit engagement where the expertise is not available within the internal audit function. Outsourcing could also alleviate temporary resourcing constraints which might otherwise jeopardise the execution of the audit plan. Banks should be able to explain the reasons for outsourcing specific internal audit activities.

67. The head of internal audit should ensure that outsourcing suppliers comply with the principles of the bank's internal audit charter. To preserve independence, it is important to ensure that the supplier has not been previously engaged in a consulting engagement in the same area within the bank unless a reasonably long "cooling-off" period has elapsed. Subsequently, those experts who participated in an internal audit engagement should not provide consulting services to a function of the bank they recently audited. Additionally, as a sound practice, banks should not outsource internal audit activities to their own external audit firm.⁹

68. The head of internal audit should ensure that, whenever practical, the relevant knowledge input from an expert is assimilated into the organisation. This may be possible by having one or more members of the bank's internal audit staff participate in the external expert's work.

B. The relationship of the supervisory authority with the internal audit function

69. The supervisory authority will benefit from effective communication about topics of mutual interest with the internal audit function of a bank. When establishing a relationship with the internal audit function of a bank, the supervisory authority should obtain an understanding of the organisation and operation of the internal audit function, including its position and remit within the bank.

70. Supervisors and internal auditors should each ensure that enhanced communication does not undermine their respective perceived and actual independence and status, as the supervisory authority and the internal audit function each have different roles and

⁹ Any departure from this best practice should be limited to small banks and should remain within the bounds of the applicable ethical standards for the statutory or external auditor.

responsibilities. Regardless of the supervisor's assessment of the internal audit function, the supervisor should be able to challenge the work of the internal auditors through their continuous supervision process, including through on-site supervision.

71. The relationship between the supervisor and the internal audit function should be established in a structured and transparent way. In principle, the supervisor will initiate this relationship.

1. Benefits of enhanced communication between the supervisory authority and the internal audit function

Principle 16: Supervisors should have regular communication with the bank's internal auditors to (i) discuss the risk areas identified by both parties, (ii) understand the risk mitigation measures taken by the bank, and (iii) monitor the bank's response to weaknesses identified.

72. The internal audit function is a key building block of the internal control system because it provides an independent assessment of the adequacy of, and compliance with, the bank's established policies and procedures. Therefore, supervisory authorities have an interest in engaging in a constructive and formalised dialogue with the internal audit function. This dialogue could be a valuable source of information on the quality of the internal control system.

73. The extent to which the work of internal auditors is factored into the supervisory course of action for a bank will depend on the supervisory approach, the supervisor's assessment of the internal audit function, and the circumstances relating to the issues at hand.

74. In addition to meetings with senior management, supervisory authorities should meet periodically with the bank's internal auditors to discuss their risk analysis, findings, recommendations and the audit plan. Supervisors should decide on a case per case approach whether senior management should or should not be present at these meetings. These meetings can also facilitate the understanding of how and to what extent the recommendations made by supervisors (including those made during on-site reviews) and internal auditors have been implemented. These meetings should be sufficiently frequent to enable the supervisor to ensure the effectiveness of the actions taken by the bank to carry out these recommendations. The frequency of these meetings and other communication between supervisors and internal auditors should be commensurate with the bank's size, the nature and risks of its operations and the complexity of its organisation. Supervisors may also request the internal audit reports from time to time. The analysis of these internal audit reports and information may contribute to the supervisor's assessment of the internal control system of the bank.

75. The relationship between supervisors and internal auditors is also two-way. Supervisory authorities may consider sharing relevant information with the internal audit function when this could increase the effectiveness of its internal audit work. Also, supervisory authorities should make specific recommendations for strengthening the internal audit function and the control environment.

2. Potential topics for discussion between supervisors and internal audit

76. Although all matters covered by the internal audit function are potentially of value to supervisors, some topics are closely related to supervisory requirements and are therefore of particular interest to banking supervisors.

77. A bank's capital and liquidity positions and its processes and methods for determining, monitoring, controlling and reporting on material risks are directly relevant and important to supervisors. Therefore, supervisors and internal auditors should discuss the areas described in Section A - Principle 7 and related paragraphs.

78. Internal audit is well placed to provide the supervisor with insight on the institution's business model including risks in the institution's business activities, processes and functions and the adequacy of the control and oversight of these risks such as:

- (i) Application and effectiveness of risk management procedures and risk assessment methodologies, as applied to credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk (including information technology and business continuity management), and other risks relevant to the Basel capital adequacy Pillar 2 requirements;
- (ii) Contingency planning;
- (iii) Outsourcing arrangements; and
- (iv) Fraud risk.

79. To the extent that accounting data drives certain regulatory measures or is included in regulatory reporting, supervisors should seek to understand and benefit from work performed by internal audit relating to:

- (i) Measurement (including fair values) and impairment of financial instruments;
- (ii) Significant transactions in financial instruments with a regulatory impact; and
- (iii) Other judgemental accounting areas, including estimates.

80. Supervisors may also have an interest in business or market conduct issues as identified through the audit of the compliance function, for example:

- (i) Transaction reporting;
- (ii) Adherence to rules for dealing with client assets;
- (iii) Anti-money laundering processes and controls; and
- (iv) Management of conflicts of interest.

81. The board of directors and senior management are responsible for establishing the bank's strategy and business models. However, changes therein may have consequences for the bank's internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes. Although internal audit does not set the bank's policies and should not interfere in its business decisions, it can be in a position to influence them by challenging management. Both the internal audit function and banking supervisors have an interest in the following:

- (i) Processes for objective setting and strategic decision making; and,
- (ii) Quality and substance of management and governance structure and processes.

C. Supervisory assessment of the internal audit function

82. Because of the crucial role played by internal audit in assessing the effectiveness of a bank's overall control systems and processes, supervisors should assess the internal audit function. This will influence their overall assessment of the bank and enable them to determine the extent to which they will use the work of the internal audit function.

1. Assessment of the internal audit function

Principle 17: Bank supervisors should regularly assess whether the internal audit function has sufficient standing and authority within the bank and operates according to sound principles.

83. The supervisory authority should consider the extent to which the board of directors, its audit committee and senior management promote a strong internal control environment supported and assessed by a sound internal audit function.

84. The assessment of the internal audit function should be based on the supervisory expectations as set out in section A of this guidance. This includes:

- The basic features of the internal audit function;
- The internal audit function's standing and authority within the bank;
- The existence and content of the internal audit charter;
- The scope of the internal audit function's work and its output;
- The corporate governance arrangements that apply to the internal audit function;
- The organisation of the function within a group or holding company;
- The professional competence, experience and expertise within the internal audit function;
- The remuneration structure of the head of the internal audit function and the key internal auditors; and
- Outsourced internal audit activities, if any.

85. In order to promote consistency and comparability over time and across banks and to identify industry best practices, the supervisory authority may benefit from using a grading system to perform its assessment of the internal audit function.

86. Weaknesses identified in the internal audit function may affect the supervisor's assessment of the bank's risk profile.

87. Although the supervisory authority should independently assess the quality of the internal audit function, the audit committee or its equivalent and the internal audit function should develop and maintain their own tools to assess the quality of the internal audit function.

88. The appointment and replacement of the head of the internal audit function is relevant to the supervisory assessment of the bank. Therefore, the supervisory authority should be promptly informed by the audit committee (or its equivalent) or senior management of the appointment of a new head of the internal audit function, including relevant qualifications and previous experience. Similarly, whenever the head of the internal audit function ceases to act in this capacity, the supervisory authority should be informed of this

fact and its circumstances. The supervisory authority should consider meeting with the former head of internal audit to discuss the reasons for his or her departure.

2. Actions to be undertaken by the supervisory authority

Principle 18: Supervisors should formally report all weaknesses they identify in the internal audit function to the board of directors and require remedial actions.

89. When the supervisory authority concludes that a bank's internal audit function is inadequate or ineffective, it should require the board of directors to develop an appropriate written remediation plan that addresses the identified weaknesses on a timely basis. The written plan should be submitted to the supervisory authority for review. If the supervisor is not satisfied, it should require changes or additional measures to be included in the plan. The supervisor should monitor the implementation of the plan.

90. In addition to measures relating to the performance and standing of the internal audit function, the supervisor may also recommend enhancements to the governance of the bank including the functioning of the audit committee.

91. The audit committee and board of directors should not conclude that the internal audit function is functioning well solely because the supervisory authority has not identified any weaknesses. The supervisory review process is not a substitute for the audit committee's assessment, or an external assessment of the internal audit function.

Principle 19: The supervisory authority should consider the impact of its assessment of the internal audit function on its evaluation of the bank's risk profile and on its own supervisory work.

92. The assessment of the internal audit function may have consequences for the supervisor's evaluation of the bank's risk profile, the allocation of supervisory resources and the activities envisaged by the authority.

93. Where remedial actions cannot be agreed upon or where the bank faces ongoing delays in remediating the identified weaknesses, the supervisory authority should consider the impact of this on the bank's risk profile.

94. In cases where a bank belongs to an international group, the supervisor should consider sharing its concerns with the other relevant authorities, for example within the supervisory college.


Principle 20: The supervisory authority should be prepared to take informal or formal supervisory actions requiring the board and senior management to remedy any identified deficiencies related to the internal audit function within a specified timeframe and to provide the supervisor with periodic written progress reports.

95. While supervisors expect banks to have a strong and robust internal audit function, there may be certain circumstances in which deficiencies exist and warrant specific supervisory actions aimed at remedying the deficiencies. Supervisory action may be of a public or non-public nature.

Annex 1

Internal audit function's communication channels

References to support these communication channels for the internal audit function are provided in the Committee's Core Principles and other relevant guidance issued by the Committee, International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, and the standards of The Institute of Internal Auditors (The IIA) as indicated. The diagram does not reflect all of the communication channels for parties other than the internal audit function.



- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision:
 - Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision
 - Principles for Enhancing Corporate Governance
 - The Internal Audit Function in Banks
- IIA: International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. Standards starting at 1xxx are Attribute Standards and Standards starting at 2xxx are Performance Standards. See International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF), The Institute of Internal Auditors, Altamonte Springs, Florida, USA, 2011.
 - IIA 1000 - Purpose, Authority, and Responsibility
 - IIA 1100 - Independence and Objectivity

- IIA 1110 - Organizational Independence
- IIA 1111 - Direct Interaction with the Board
- IIA 2440 - Disseminating Results
- ISA: International Standards on Auditing. Standards starting at 2xx deal with the overall objectives and responsibilities of the external auditor, standards starting at 3xx deal with risk assessment and response to assessed risk by the external auditor and standards starting at 6xx deal with the external auditor's use of the work of others. See Handbook of International Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and related Services Pronouncements, 2010 Edition Part 1, International Federation of Accountants, New York, New York, USA.
 - ISA 260 - Communication with Those Charged with Governance
 - ISA 315 - Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment
 - ISA 610 - Using the Work of Internal Auditors

Annex 2

Responsibilities of a bank's audit committee

The audit committee is a specialised committee within the board of directors. As such, it prepares the work of, and reports to the board of directors in specific areas for which it has designated responsibility. The board of directors assumes final responsibility.

The audit committee may invite the head of internal audit, the head of compliance, senior management, in particular the chief executive officer and other officials deemed relevant for the purpose of fulfilling its responsibilities to attend meetings of the committee. It is a sound practice that the head of internal audit and members of the audit committee have a private session, i.e. in the absence of management, to discuss issues of interest.

The main areas of responsibility of the audit committee are listed below by broad categories. The list provides a summary of sound practices for the audit committee of a bank. This list may vary according to local regulations and practices. For example, the responsibilities of an audit committee may be assumed directly by the board of directors in some banks or in some countries.

Financial reporting, including disclosures

- (a) monitoring the financial reporting process and its output;
- (b) overseeing the establishment of accounting policies and practices by the bank and reviewing the significant qualitative aspects of the bank's accounting practices, including accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- (c) monitoring the integrity of the bank's financial statements and any formal announcements relating to the bank's financial performance;
- (d) reviewing significant financial reporting judgments contained in the financial statements; and
- (e) reviewing arrangements by which staff of the bank may confidentially raise concerns about possible improprieties in matters of financial reporting.

Internal control

- (f) ensuring that senior management establishes and maintains an adequate and effective internal control system and processes. The system and processes should be designed to provide assurance in areas including reporting (financial, operational, risk), monitoring compliance with laws, regulations and internal policies, efficiency and effectiveness of operations and safeguarding of assets.

Internal audit

- (g) monitoring and reviewing the effectiveness of the bank's internal audit function;
- (h) approving the internal audit plan, scope and budget;
- (i) reviewing and discussing internal audit reports;
- (j) ensuring that the internal audit function maintains open communication with senior management, external auditors, the supervisory authority, and the audit committee;
- (k) reviewing discoveries of fraud and violations of laws and regulations as raised by the head of the internal audit function;
- (l) approving the audit charter and the code of ethics of the internal audit function;
- (m) approving, or recommending to the board for its approval, the annual remuneration of the internal audit function as a whole, including performance awards;
- (n) assessing the performance of the head of the internal audit function; and,
- (o) approving, or recommending to the board for its approval, the appointment, re-appointment or removal of the head of the internal audit function and the key internal auditors.

The statutory or external auditor

Appointment, reappointment, dismissal and remuneration

- (p) approving a set of appropriate objective criteria for approving the statutory auditor or external audit firm of the bank;
- (q) approving, or recommending to the board or shareholders for their approval, the appointment, re-appointment and removal of the statutory auditor or external audit firm;
- (r) approving the remuneration and terms of engagement of the statutory auditor or external audit firm.

Compliance with relevant ethical requirements, in particular independence and objectivity

- (s) reviewing and monitoring the independence of the statutory auditor or external audit firm, and in particular the provision of additional services to the bank, including the related safeguards that have been applied to eliminate identified threats to independence or reduce them to an acceptable level;
- (t) reviewing and monitoring the statutory auditor's objectivity and the effectiveness of the audit process;
- (u) developing and implementing a policy on the engagement of the statutory auditor or external audit firm for the supply of non-audit services, taking into account relevant ethical guidelines on the provision of non-audit services by the external audit firm; and,
- (v) Approving the total fees charged for the audit of the financial statements and for non-audit services provided by the external audit firm and external audit network firms to the entity and its components controlled by the entity.

The statutory audit or external audit

- (w) overseeing the statutory audit of the annual and consolidated accounts;
- (x) discussing with the statutory auditor or external audit firm key matters arising from the statutory audit or external audit, and in particular any identified material weaknesses in internal control in relation to the financial reporting process; and,
- (y) discussing the written representations the statutory auditor or external audit firm is requesting from senior management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance;

Remedial actions

- (z) ensuring that senior management is taking necessary corrective actions to address the findings and recommendations of internal auditors and external auditors in a timely manner;
- (aa) addressing control weaknesses, non-compliance with policies, laws and regulations and other problems identified by internal auditors and external auditors, and
- (bb) ensuring that deficiencies identified by supervisory authorities related to the internal audit function are remedied within an appropriate time frame and that progress of necessary corrective actions are reported to the board of directors.